Review of the EU school fruit, vegetable and milk scheme

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

About this consultation
This consultation aims to gather views from stakeholders and members of the public on how they think the EU school fruit, vegetable and milk scheme ("EU school scheme") is working, and on possible options for its review.

Why we are consulting
The EU school scheme, applicable since 2017, supports the distribution of fruit, vegetables, milk and milk products to schoolchildren, from nursery school up to secondary school. It also includes educational activities to increase consumption of these products and to help instil healthy eating habits.

While the scheme already addresses the common agricultural policy (CAP) objective to improve the EU agriculture sector’s response to societal demands on food and health, there is scope for enhancing its contribution to sustainable food production and consumption in line with the objectives of the new CAP 2023-2027.

The Commission has launched a review of the EU school scheme as part of the Farm to Fork Strategy with the goal of creating a favourable food environment that makes it easier to choose a healthy and sustainable diet.

The review will contribute to Europe’s Europe’s Beating Cancer plan to improve health promotion through access to healthy diets and to the Action plan for the development of organic production.

It will also take into account the Council recommendation establishing the European Child Guarantee.

The review will draw lessons from the ongoing evaluation which aims to assess to what extent the EU school scheme has been effective and efficient in achieving its objectives, coherent with other EU and national agricultural, health, education and environmental policies, relevant in the light of evolving consumption patterns and trends, as well as its EU added value. It also seeks to simplify and reduce the administrative burden.

This consultation will inform the review of the EU school scheme.
It takes into consideration the feedback to the combined evaluation roadmap/inception impact assessment that took place between 29 June and 27 July 2021.
Target groups
Everyone is welcome to contribute, notably:

- schoolchildren and their parents or guardians;
- educational establishments (from early childhood education and care to secondary-level education) and their associations;
- businesses and their associations active at all stages of the food supply chain, notably small and medium enterprises (SMEs);
- non-governmental organisations active in the agriculture, food, health, nutrition, consumer protection, environment, education, and children’s rights sectors;
- public authorities in charge of agriculture, food, health, nutrition, consumer protection, environment, education, and children’s rights;
- research institutes, universities and academia.

This consultation includes general questions for all respondents and additional questions for specific target groups, notably:

- schoolchildren and/or their parents/guardians;
- educational establishments and their associations, as well as organisations active in education on food, nutrition and health;
- businesses and their associations active in the agriculture and food sector;
- public authorities.

How can you contribute?
The questionnaire is accessible in all official EU languages. You can pause at any time, saving your draft, and continue later. Once you have submitted your answers, you will be able to download a copy of your completed questionnaire.

Please note that this consultation does not intend to obtain data relating to identifiable persons. Therefore, if you describe in your replies a particular experience or situation, please do it in a way that will not allow it to be linked to a particular individual, either yourself or somebody else.

By submitting/sending your contribution you agree to its publication on Europa ‘Have you say’ portal. It is therefore important that you read the contribution publication privacy settings attached to this consultation for information on how your personal data and contribution will be dealt with.

About you

* Language of my contribution
  - Bulgarian
  - Croatian
  - Czech
  - Danish
  - Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak
Slovenian
Spanish
Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as
  - Academic/research institution
  - Business association
  - Company/business organisation
  - Consumer organisation
  - EU citizen
  - Environmental organisation
  - Non-EU citizen
  - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
  - Public authority
  - Trade union
  - Other

* First name

Nikolai
Surname
Pushkarev

Email (this won’t be published)
nikolai.pushkarev@epha.org

Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
European Public Health Alliance (EPHA)

Organisation size
- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum
Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.
18941013532-08

Country of origin
Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.
- Afghanistan
- Åland Islands
- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Djibouti
- Dominica
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Libya
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Saint Martin
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Virgin Islands</th>
<th>Guyana</th>
<th>Niger</th>
<th>The Gambia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Heard Island and McDonald Islands</td>
<td>Niue</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Northern Mariana Islands</td>
<td>Tokelau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
<td>Tonga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Turks and Caicos Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Isle of Man</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Réunion</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Island</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipperton</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocos (Keeling) Islands</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>United States Minor Outlying Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>Pitcairn Islands</td>
<td>Uruguay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>US Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Islands</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>Vatican City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Réunion</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Wallis and Futuna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, ‘business association’, ‘consumer association’, ‘EU citizen’), country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected.

**Contribution publication privacy settings**

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

- **Anonymous**
  
  Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

- **Public**
  
  Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

✔️ I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)
* If you are replying on behalf of an organisation, what type of organisation is it?
  - School (nursery-preschool-primary-secondary)
  - Business or business organisation/association in the agricultural and food sector
  - Health and/or nutrition NGO
  - Environmental NGO
  - Animal welfare NGO
  - Other (specify)

If you are replying on behalf of an NGO, what is its area of interest?
  - NGO in the public interest
  - NGO in business interest

**Awareness and knowledge of the EU school scheme**

Have you ever heard of the EU school fruit, vegetable and milk scheme?
  - Yes, and I know the details
  - Yes, but I don’t know the details
  - No, I have never heard of it

**Questions related to the evaluation of the scheme**

In your opinion, how well has the current EU school scheme responded to the following aims:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Fully</th>
<th>To a large extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>I don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased children's consumption of fruit and vegetables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased children's consumption of milk and dairy products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased children's knowledge of a healthy diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributed to lowering children's consumption of unhealthy food products (high in fat, saturated fat, salt and sugars)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributed to the consumption of organic products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guaranteed that tax payers' money is put to good use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In your opinion, how important are the following objectives for the EU school scheme?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Fairly important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>I don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributing to a healthy diet for children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging school children's consumption of fruit and vegetables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging school children's consumption of milk and milk products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping to promote sustainable food production and consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging school children's consumption of organic products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In your opinion, should the EU school scheme pursue any other objectives?

The dairy products involved need to contribute to good nutrition with a healthy composition.

The aim of the EU school scheme should be to support healthy and sustainable public procurement in European schools, including with a view on ensuring that all children, especially from disadvantaged backgrounds, have access to healthy food and diets.

In your opinion, is there any advantage of having a school scheme at EU level over having it at national/regional/local level?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I don’t know

Questions related to the review of the scheme

In your view, how important are the following factors for children’s eating habits?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Fairly important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eating habits at home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School canteens/ Procurement of school food/ vending machine offer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Currently, the EU school scheme finances the distribution of fruit, vegetables, milk and certain milk products to schoolchildren, from nursery to secondary school, generally outside school meals. In your opinion, considering children’s need for a healthy diet, which of the following products should be distributed through the EU school scheme? (*multiple answers possible*)

- [x] Fresh fruit and vegetables
- [ ] Processed fruits and vegetables such as fruit juices, vegetable soups
- [ ] Plain milk
- [ ] Plain yoghurt, curd and other fermented or acidified milk products (excluding cheese)
- [ ] Cheese
- [ ] Plant-based drinks made from oats, almonds or soya, for example
- [ ] Nuts
- [ ] Whole grains/cereals (whole bread, muesli, whole cereal bars …)
- [ ] Other (specify)
- [ ] I don’t know

Comments:

The EU school scheme should transition away from focusing on individual food products, towards supporting schools in a more comprehensive transition towards sustainable, healthy public food procurement. This will involve increased budget allocation for the scheme.

As an interim goal, it should ensure that all children in the EU, especially from underprivileged communities, receive fresh fruit and vegetables each day in an appropriate and attractive manner.
Under the current EU school scheme, added sugar, salt, fat, sweeteners and artificial flavouring is generally not allowed. However, health and nutrition authorities in EU countries may allow, as an exception, limited quantities of added salt, fat and - for milk products - sugar. In your opinion, what approach should the EU school scheme take on added sugar, salt and fat in the distributed products?

- Full prohibition of added sugar, salt and fat
- General prohibition of added sugar, salt and fat with exceptions approved by the national health/nutrition authorities and according to maximum limits defined under EU law
- General prohibition of added sugar, salt and fat with exceptions approved by the national health/nutrition authorities
- Other approach (specify)
- I don’t know

Comments:

What factors do you consider the most important for the choice of products provided under the EU school scheme? Pick the three most important. *between 1 and 3 choices*

- Wide variety of products to account for diversity of taste
- Seasonal products
- Organic food products
- Low environmental and climate impact
- Local and short supply chain
- Minimal packaging, with little or no plastic
- High animal welfare standards
- Other (specify)

Please specify:

Products that contribute to sustainable healthy diets, but are under-consumed today. Increased organic products is positive in this light.
Currently, children receive fruit, vegetables, milk and milk products under the EU school scheme generally outside regular school meals. In your opinion and experience, which of the following arrangements would work best for the future scheme? Rank from more relevant (top) to less relevant (bottom):

Use drag&drop or the up/down buttons to change the order or accept the initial order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakfast at school</th>
<th>Contribution to the lunch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy morning snack</td>
<td>Healthy afternoon snack / ‘goûter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please specify (if ‘other’):

The School scheme should be integrated with the provision of healthy sustainable public meals in schools and the creation of health-enabling school food environments.

The EU school scheme includes educational activities on agriculture and healthy eating habits, such as lessons, farm visits, school gardens, tasting and cooking workshops, theme days and games. In your opinion, which educational topics should the EU school scheme cover? Pick the five most important between 1 and 5 choices

- [ ] Agriculture and how food is produced
- [ ] Value and cost of food produced
- [x] Healthy, balanced and nutritious diets
- [ ] Environmental impact of food
- [x] Food sustainability
- [ ] Food labelling (nutrition declaration, ingredient list, sustainability labelling)
- [x] Food preparation (tasting, cooking)
- [ ] Local and short supply chain products
- [ ] Seasonal products
- [ ] Organic
- [x] Low environmental and climate impact farming
- [ ] Sustainable trade
- [ ] Animal welfare
- [ ] Food waste
- [x]
Advertising and marketing of foods

- Other (specify)
- I don’t know

Comments:

Different production systems, animal husbandry and antibiotics use, and local supply chains are also important.

Note on terminology: a healthy diet is per definition balanced and nutritious. (CFS: https://www.who.int/teams/nutrition-and-food-safety/cfs-voluntary-guidelines-on-food-systems-and-nutrition)

Currently, up to 15% of the annual EU budget for the EU school scheme can be used for educational measures. Participating countries can use national public or private funds, instead. Please give us your opinion on the future system of financing for educational measures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Totally agree</th>
<th>Somewhat agree</th>
<th>Somewhat disagree</th>
<th>Totally disagree</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The system should continue as it is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A minimum percentage of the EU budget should be set aside for educational measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maximum EU budget for educational measures (15%) should be increased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Currently, the EU school scheme is for schoolchildren from nursery school to secondary school. In your opinion, the beneficiaries of the future scheme should include: *(multiple answers possible)*:

- Nursery
- Pre-school
- Primary school
- Secondary school
- Afterschool care, summer camps
- Other (specify)
I don’t know

Comments:

All children should benefit from the scheme.

Currently, the EU school scheme does not specifically target children who, due to their socio-economic status or other factors, may have less healthy and sustainable diets.

In your opinion, should priority be given to areas/schools with more of those children?

- Yes
- No
- I don’t know

Comments:

The principle must be that all children should benefit from the scheme.

Should certain groups need to be prioritised (which should be avoided), then funds should go to underprivileged communities, with less access to healthy and sustainable diets.

Currently, the budget for the EU school scheme is allocated by country, based on the number of children and the level of development of the regions. In your opinion, what should be the criteria for allocating the budget in the future scheme?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Totally agree</th>
<th>Somewhat agree</th>
<th>Somewhat disagree</th>
<th>Totally disagree</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The criteria for the allocation of the budget should not change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance criteria (e.g. good use of the budget, targets to be achieved) should also be taken into account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs-based criteria (e.g. prevalence of child overweight and obesity, consumption patterns, socio-economic backgrounds) should be also taken into account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other criteria / comments:
In the first stage, the budget of the scheme should be increased to enable all children to be reached.

Subsequently, the scheme should become a financial mechanism to enable schools to innovate towards healthier, more sustainable public procurement practices. This should allow to achieve and exceed the EU-wide minimum criteria for sustainable public food procurement that should be proposed by the Commission under the Farm to Fork Strategy and upcoming Legislative framework for sustainable food systems.

### What is your view on the governance of the EU school scheme?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Totally agree</th>
<th>Somewhat agree</th>
<th>Somewhat disagree</th>
<th>Totally disagree</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Member States’ public authorities in charge of agriculture, health/nutrition, education, social and environmental issues should play a role in the design and implementation of the scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Member States should ensure an effective involvement of the economic and social partners, and the relevant bodies representing civil society in the design and implementation of the scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other / comments:

When involving partners, conflicts of interest must be considered.
- Public interest civil society and independent academia should be included in setting the public policy aims.
- Private interest partners should be consulted on implementation, but not on the public policy aims.

### Document upload and final comments

Should any other issues or improvements be addressed in the review of the EU school scheme? If so, please specify:

EPHA supports the contribution of the European Heart Network and SAFE Food Advocacy Europe.

Feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The maximum file size is 1MB.
The document is optional and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

81db70dd-9ed5-46d7-8315-92a130968738/epha-submission-eu-school-scheme-july2021.pdf
Contact
AGRI-School-Scheme@ec.europa.eu